Technology

Mike Wyllie | Andrew Newport

Group Technology Director SBM Monaco PTD Director

September 19, 2014



OFFSHORE



Disclaimer

Some of the statements contained in this presentation that are not historical facts are statements of future expectations and other forward-looking statements based on management's current views and assumptions and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results, performance, or events to differ materially from those in such statements. Such forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties, which may cause actual results and performance of the Company's business to differ materially and adversely from the forward-looking statements.

Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described in this presentation as anticipated, believed, or expected. SBM Offshore NV does not intend, and does not assume any obligation, to update any industry information or forward-looking statements set forth in this presentation to reflect subsequent events or circumstances.



Contents

- 1. Key Industry Trends
- 2. Technology Organisation in SBM
- 3. Technology Advances
 - FPSO Technology
 - Mooring System Technology (A. Newport)
 - Semi Sub & TLP Technology (A. Newport)
 - FLNG Technology
 - Other Technology
- 4. SBM Group Technical Standards
- 5. Conclusions

Industry Trends





OFFSHORE

What We Are Hearing From Our Clients as Key Trends in the Industry































































Technology Development in SBM Offshore







Four Technology Leadership Centres







Technology Readiness Level (TRL)

| Status | TRL | |
|---------------------------------|-----|---|
| Proven in service for >3 years | 7 | |
| System installed and operating. | 6 | Technology |
| Execution of full scale project | 5 | developed in SBM |
| System prototype / FEED | 4 | through a stage- |
| Component prototype / pre-FEED | 3 | gate process to |
| Concept validation by testing | 2 | ensure robustness prior to first sale. |
| Concept proving by theory | | |
| Idea definition | 0 | |

FPSO Technology











Generation 1 Simple Oil Processing FPSOs

FPSO IV 1986 to 1998

FPSO II 1981 to 1996

OFFSHORE





Generation 1 Rang Dong & Pre-1998 FPSOs









Generation 2 FPSO *Brasil* and *Marlim Sul*

Marlim Sul



FPSO Brasil



Generation 2 FPSO *Brasil & Marlim Sul*









Generation 3 *Cidade de Paraty* and Beyond...





OFFSHORE

Generation 3 Cidade de Paraty and Beyond



Generation 3 FPSO's Measure of Complexity











Cidade de Ilhabela – Sailaway





Subject



Process Intensification (PI)

Compact topsides technologies



Greater capacity Cost reduction Improved & Inherent Safety Improved Performance







New Technology with PI Ultrafiltration of Seawater

Note: Pictures to approx. same scale



C.de Ilhabela sea water treatment: MMF → vac DA → SRP 180,000 bwpd



Standardised, scalable SW treatment: UF → SRP → vac DA 270,000 bwpd





New Ways of Working Offshore

Offshore



Mooring Technology

Andrew Newport







Turret Moored FPSO





Spread Moored FPSO









Past Mooring Systems























Current Range of Internal Turrets



Water Depth Trend For FPSO and FSO Moorings





Year




Top Mounted Internal Turret (TMIT)

Virtually no limit on riser number – scalable

Virtually no limit on mooring loads

Bogies and radial wheels are inspectable and replaceable







Top Mounted Internal Turret (TMIT)

Bogies support axial loads

Radial wheels support radial loads





Dry access in turret for inspection and maintenance

The bogie design is standard

The number of bogies is selected to accommodate the design loads (N+1)









TMIT with Steel Risers

Espirito Santo employs steel lazy wave risers

Steel Lazy Wave Risers terminate at lower cylinder deck

Umbilicals terminate at upper cylinder deck

Weathervaning system unaffected













FPSO Turritella













Disconnectable Mooring Systems



Able to disconnect under loads (600 tons per locking device)

Able to transfer up to 900 tons per locking device when connected





MoorSparTM

A slender buoy (spar) decoupled from the FPSO heave motions

Capable of supporting much larger number of steel risers

SBI

Disconnectable for hurricane events





MoorSpar™







Arctic Moorings



- 100 yr return condition
- Disconnect under low loads



fields

00

- Ice vaning
- Disconnect under high loads





Ice Class FPSOs

Key Mooring Challenges

Sheet ice – "Ice Vaning" required Mooring system disconnectable under ice loads



OFFSHORE

Ice Vaning Tests in Arctic Model Test Basin



Ice model test campaign in Arctic conditions





Articulated Rod Connecting Arm (ARCA)

- Improved Maintenance
- Diverless Safer
- Cost Reduction





ARAC Prototype









Swivel Overview

FPSO ESPIRITO

Swivels transfer fluids, utilities, power and signals between the geostationary turret and the weathervaning vessel.

Growing in Size of Swivel Stacks















Very High Pressure Swivels Introduced in 2014



Core Swivel Technology















Large Scale Power Import or Export



HVAC swivel rated at 65 kV and 150 MW







Mooring Systems – Summary

| Deeper | VPH Swivels for deeper reservoirs | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| Harsher | Increased mooring loads using bogie bearings | | |
| Colder | Disconnectable turrets for Arctic | | |
| Larger | Higher capacity swivels, optimised manifolds | | |
| Lower Cost | ARCA, higher capacity external turrets | | |

Semi Sub & TLP Technology















Proven Deepwater Semi-Submersibles and Tension Leg Platforms



Beyond ~1500m water depth

DeepDraft Semi Submersible

- 2 Units installed in US GoM
- Operating in 2450m water depth
- Optimised for wet trees and steel risers
- Also available for dry trees in moderate Hs

Below ~1500m water depth

Tension Leg Platform (TLP)

- 5 SeaStar units installed, marginal field solution ٠
- FourStar TLP design for larger fields
- Both available for dry or wet trees











Production units in areas of developed infrastructure do not need storage





FourStar[™]TLP

Builds on SeaStar experience

Higher payload than SeaStar

Suitable for Wet or Dry Trees

Topsides integrated at Quayside







Drilling Riser TRIP-SAVERTM

Allows all wells to be drilled consecutively without recovering and redeploying the Drilling Riser

Significant Drillex saving









Horizontal Tendon Assembly







Horizontal Tendon Assembly







SBM Deep Draft Semi[™] with Dry Trees

Builds on production semi experience

Lower cost and more flexible than a Spar

Quayside topsides integration

Beyond 1500 m, more cost effective than a TLP



FLNG Technology











Conversion or New Build? Analogy with FPSO

Tanker Conversions Dominate the Global FPSO Fleet



- 2/3 of global FPSO fleet are based on tanker conversions
- Conversions dominate in small to mid-scale FPSO oil capacity





Analogy to FPSO Market



New Build FPSO 150,000+ bpd



New Build FLNG 2+ mtpa

Converted FPSO <150,000 bpd



Converted FLNG <2 mtpa

FLNG vessels based on LNG tanker conversions can replicate the success of converted FPSOs, drawing on experience from the global FPSO fleet





Mid Scale Floating LNG

FLNG Twin Hull Concept







Topsides Process Selection



NPV = fn (Capex, Opex, Efficiency, Uptime, Risk)





Comparison of Liquefaction Process Options

| | Dual Mixed Refrigerant | Single Mixed Refrigerant | Dual Nitrogen Expansion |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Proven technology | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Overall space required | High | High/Moderate | Moderate |
| Hazardous Refrigerant | Yes | Yes | No |
| HC Refrigerant make-up | Yes | Yes | No |
| Explosion Hazards | High | High | Low |
| Complexity of operation | High | Moderate | Low |
| Process Efficiency | High | Moderate | Moderate |
| Expected Availability | Moderate | Moderate | High |
| Total Capital Cost | High | High/Moderate | Moderate |
| Operating Cost | High | High | Moderate |




1/60 Scale Model Test in MARIN Basin







Comparison Between Converted FPSO and Converted FLNG

Similar Topsides weight Similar Capex Similar Schedule

> **FPSO** 150,000 bpd

Twin Hull FLNG 2.0 MTPA





Competitive Advantage Through Technology – Twin Hull FLNG

Lower CAPEX



Excellent Performance

Other Technology







Extended Well Test with GTL

30,000 bpd + 40 MMscfd 🗆 33,000 bpd blended crude





Heavy Oil Upgrading

FPUSO Main Components

50,000 bpd of 9° API ightarrow 45,000 bpd 20° API







S3 Wave Energy Converter (WEC)



Electro Active Polymer (artificial muscle) converts mechanical energy into electrical energy

No mechanical moving parts, Excellent Efficiency

SBM Offshore Group Technical Standards







Continuous Feedback Loop







Technical Lessons Learnt

| | CLIENT: | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----|
| | SBM OPERATIONS | | |
| | PROJECT: | | |
| OFFSHORE | GROUP TECHNICAL STANDARDS | | |
| | ES45000 | PECEMETS999005 | A 3 |
| DOCUMENT TITLE: | | | |
| MECHANICAL | | | |
| UNFIRED PRESSURE VESSEL | | | |
| STANDARD SPECIFICATION | | | |
| | | | |





Technical Lessons Learnt









SBM Technology – Conclusions



Strong Technical Partners

> Major Cost & Schedule reduction initiative

Aligned to needs of clients and Industry Trends

Stage Gate process for TRL

