

#### SBM Offshore NV Lease Accounting Overview



24 September 2010



#### **Table of Content**

Introduction

- Base Cases: Operating Lease vs. Finance Lease
- Variations to base cases: Impact of consolidation method
- Application to SBM Offshore NV
- Future developments



#### Introduction

- In SBM Offshore NV business model, leases represent a significant part (H1 2010: 28.4% of revenue, 59% of EBIT, 2009: 27.6% of revenue, 59.6% of EBIT)
- Leases are most often handled with Partners through Joint Ventures companies
- Because SBM is a Manufacturer Lessor (as per definition of IAS17), Lease can also be combined with EPCI contract
- Purpose of this presentation is to go through most cases that can happen at SBM Offshore NV, explain those complex accounting treatments and their impacts on SBM Offshore financial statements





#### Introduction

- Under IFRS, US and most other Gaap, there is a distinction between Operating Lease and Finance Lease (also called Capital Lease)
- SBM Offshore NV has been applying IFRS since 2005.
- Under IFRS, the standard is IAS 17 which currently under revision.
- Literally, Finance leases are leases which transfer to the client substantially all risks and rewards incidental to ownership of an asset. Title may or may not eventually be transferred.
- Schematically, finance leases are similar to a sale of an underlying asset, combined with a financing package over several periods (ie the lease term)



# Base Cases Operating Lease / Finance Lease



## **Case 1 - Operating Lease**

- Risks and rewards remain with SBM Offshore NV
- There is a unique transaction ie. the leasing of our asset
  - Therefore only one segment is impacted ie. Lease and Operate
- During the construction: SBM is building the asset it is going to lease
  - In BS: the asset being built is shown as "Asset under construction"
  - In P&L: nothing happens
- During the lease term
  - In BS: the asset is depreciated over the lease term or 10 years if shorter lease term
  - In P&L:
    - Costs incurred in earning the lease income (including depreciation) are recognized as an expense.
    - Lease income is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term even if the bareboat payments (BB) are not on such a basis (in this case deferred income)

 $\rightarrow$  EBITDA is a fair estimate of the cash flow (except if deferred income)



#### **Case 2 - Finance Lease**

- In substance, it is a sale followed by a "lease". Therefore it can be considered as a multiple elements arrangement:
  - **Element 1** is a sale which generates revenue, cost of sale and gross margin
    - Shown under the Turnkey System segment
  - Element 2 is the lease term which is the period when the lessee repays SBM for the facility granted. Therefore, the BB payments can be considered as (a) repayment of principal and (b) interest income on the SBM financing
    - Shown under Lease and Operate segment
- During the construction: Element 1 is recognized
  - In BS: the asset being built is shown as "Construction contract"
  - In P&L: revenue / cost of sale / gross margin are recognized using the percentage of completion method
- At completion:
  - In BS:
    - The construction contract is closed and,
    - Transferred to a financial receivable / asset, corresponding to the fair value of the lease



#### **Case 2 - Finance Lease**

- During the lease term
  - BB payments are the rewards for the SBM financing
  - Therefore BB payments are apportioned as
    - (a) repayment of the principal (the financial receivable)
    - and (b) interest income
  - In P&L
    - Because there is no tangible fixed asset, there is no depreciation
    - The interest income is recognized within Revenue
      - $\rightarrow$  Therefore, EBITDA is not a good approximation of the Cash inflow.
      - Only the cash flow statement actually captures the real cash inflow from the lease activity
      - Note that SBM has chosen to present this cash inflow as Cash Flow from Operating activities and not from Financing Activities
  - In BS: annuity type amortization of the financial receivable



### **Simplified Example**

#### Main assumptions

•		
Lease term	years	10
Yearly BB payment (linear)	USD, 000	200
Construction costs	USD, 000	900
Project WACC	%	8
Assuming construction period	years	2
Percentage of Completion	year 1	40%
	year 2	60%
	-	

- This case is deliberately simplified with the following elements
- The case is presented up to EBIT level
  - Financing costs (bank interests) are excluded
  - Tax impact is excluded
- The case does not deal with Opex result as this is recognized on a straight line basis, regardless of the Bareboat classification into Operating Lease / Finance Lease
- The case assumes no residual value of the vessel at the end of the lease term



### **Simplified Example - Operating Lease**

		BB navments	2 000		
	2,000	900	1,100	2,000	2,000
10	200	90	110	200	200
9	200	90	110	200	200
8	200	90	110	200	200
7	200	90	110	200	200
6	200	90	110	200	200
5	200	90	110	200	200
4	200	90	110	200	200
3	200	90	110	200	200
2	200	90	110	200	200
1	200	90	110	200	200
Y	Revenue	Depreciation	EBIT	EBITDA	Cashflow

 Costs
 900

 EBIT
 1,100





#### **Simplified Example - Finance Lease**

#### **1. Determine Net investment in the Lease**

Y	Gross BB	Discount factor	Net BB		
1	200	92.6%	185		
2	200	85.7%	171		
3	200	79.4%	159		
4	200	73.5%	147		
5	200	68.1%	136		
6	200	63.0%	126		
7	200	58.3%	117		
8	200	54.0%	108		
9	200	50.0%	100		
10	200	46.3%	93		
	2,000	8.0%	1,342		

#### **3. Apportion BB payments**

<u>Y</u>	Interests	Amortization	Prineipal 1,342	= 1.342 * 8%
1	107 -	93、	1,249	
2	100	100 `	1,149	
3	92	108	1,041	
4	83	117	925	
5	74	126	799	
6	64	136	662	
7	53	147	515	
8	41	159	357	= BB payments
9	29	171	185	(200) – interest
10	15	185	- 0	(407)
	658	1,342		(107)

2. Allocate the value of the contract to the various elements

900
1,342
442
1,342 2,000
658
900
2,000
1,100
442
658



## **Simplified Example - Finance Lease**

	Y	Revenue	COS	GM	Interest	EBIT	EBITDA	Cash inflow
Construction	, 1	537	360	177	meenie	177	177	-
	/ 2	805	540	265		265	265	-
Lease	/ 1				107	107	107	200
	/ 2				100	100	100	200
	_ / 3				92	92	92	200
Year 1: 40%	/ 4				83	83	83	200
	5				74	74	74	200
progress	6				64	64	64	200
	7				53	53	53	200
	8				41	41	41	200
	9				29	29	29	200
	10				15	15	15	200
Total		1,342	900	442	658	1,100	1,100	2,000





#### **Comparison OL / FL**





# II Variations to base cases Impact of consolidation method



#### JV accounting

- Most of the leases are handled with Partners through Joint Venture companies (13 JV out of 19 vessels in SBM lease fleet).
- Depending on SBM's control in those JV, we can identify variations to the base cases 1 and 2:
  - The JV is in Joint Control
    - Cases 3.1 (Operating Lease) and 3.2 (Finance Lease)
  - SBM has the Full Control on the JV
    - Cases 4.1 (Operating Lease) and 4.2 (Finance Lease)
  - The Partner has the Full Control on the JV
    - Cases 5.1 (Operating Lease) and 5.2 (Finance Lease)



#### Cases 3.1 and 3.2

The JV is in Joint Control 50% SBM and 50% Partner

- The method of consolidation applied so far by SBM is the Proportionate consolidation
  - Case 3.1 Operating Lease is the base case 1 with inflows / outflows / and BS positions taken at 50%
  - Case 3.2 Finance Lease is the base case 2 with inflows / outflows / and BS positions taken at 50%



## **Case 3.1 - Operating Lease**

Υ	Revenue	Depreciation	EBIT	EBITDA	Cashflow					
1	200	90	110	200	200					
2	200	90	110	200	200					
3	200	90	110	200	200					
4	200	90	110	200	200					
5	200	90	110	200	200					
6	200	90	110	200	200					
7	200	90	110	200	200					
8	200	90	110	200	200			4		
9	200	90	110	200	200		Base C	ase 1		
10	200	90	110	200	200		100	%		
	2,000	900	1,100	2,000	2,000					
		BB payments	2,000							
			900							
		EDII	1,100							
					Y Reve	nue	Depreciation	EBIT	EBITDA	Cashflow
					1	100	45	55	100	100
					2	100	45	55	100	100
					3	100	45	55	100	100
					4	100	45	55	100	100
					5	100	45	55	100	100
	_				6	100	45	55	100	100
		Caso 3 1			7	100	45	55	100	100
					8	100	45	55	100	100
		50%			9	100	45	55	100	100
					10	100	45	55	100	100
						,000	450	550	1,000	1,000
							BB novmonto	1 000		
								1,000		
				I				/ = ! -		
							COSIS	400 550		



#### **Case 3.2 - Finance Lease**

	Y	Revenue	COS	GM	Interest income	EBIT	EBITDA	Cash inflow	
Construction	1	537	360	177		177	177	-	
	2	805	540	265		265	265	-	
Lease	1				107	107	107	200	
	2				100	100	100	200	
	3				92	92	92	200	
	4				83	83	83	200	,
	5				74	74	74	200 /	
	6				64	64	64	200	
	7				53	53	53	200	
	8				41	41	41	200	
	9				29	29	29	200	
	10				15	15	15	200	
Total		1,342	900	442	658	1,100	1,100	2,000	

Base Case 2 100%

		Y	Revenue	COS	GM	Interest	EBIT	EBITDA	Cash inflow
						income			
	Construction	1	268	180	88	-	88	88	-
		2	403	270	133	-	133	133	-
	Lease	1	-	-		54	54	54	100
		2	-	-		50	50	50	100
		3	-	-		46	46	46	100
		4	-	-		42	42	42	100
Case 3.2		5	-	-		37	37	37	100
50%		6	-	-		32	32	32	100
		7	-	-		26	26	26	100
		8	-	-		21	21	21	100
		9	-	-		14	14	14	100
		10	-	-		7	7	7	100
	Total		671	450	221	329	550	550	1,000



#### Cases 4.1 and 4.2

- SBM has the Full Control on the JV
  - The JV is consolidated in Full with Minority Interests
    - Case 4.1 Operating Lease is the exact replica of case 1 except that ultimately net result is apportioned between SBM and minority interests
    - **Case 4.2 Finance Lease** is the exact replica of case 2 except that ultimately net result is apportioned between SBM and minority interests
- For the purpose of the following example, SBM share is assumed 70% and partner share 30%



### **Case 4.1 - Operating Lease**

1       200       90       110       200       200         2       200       90       110       200       200         3       200       90       110       200       200         4       200       90       110       200       200						
2       200       90       110       200       200         3       200       90       110       200       200         4       200       90       110       200       200						
3       200       90       110       200       200         4       200       90       110       200       200						
4 200 90 110 200 200						
5 200 90 110 200 200						
6 200 90 110 200 200 Base Case 1						
7 200 90 110 200 200 <b>100%</b>						
8 200 90 110 200 200						
9 200 90 110 200 200	200					
RR novmonto 2 000 Net result						
Costs 900 Y Revenue Depreciation FBIT Minority SBM	FRITDA	Cashflow				
FBIT 1 100	LUIIUA	Casimow				
	200	200				
2 200 90 110 33 77	200	200				
3 200 90 110 33 77	200	200				
	200	200				
5 200 90 110 33 77	200	200				
$   \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	200	200				
	200	200				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	200	200				
Case 4.1 10 200 90 110 33 77	200	200				
Minority 30% 2,000 900 1,100 330 770	2,000	2,000				
	_,	_,				
BB payments 2,000						
Costs 900						
Net result 1,100						
SBM share 770						
Partner share 330						



#### **Case 4.2 - Finance Lease**

	Y	Revenue	COS	GM	Interest income	EBIT	EBITDA	Cash inflow	
Construction	1	537	360	177		177	177	-	
	2	805	540	265		265	265	-	
Lease	1				107	107	107	200	,
	2				100	100	100	200 (	Ba
	3				92	92	92	200	
	4				83	83	83	200	
	5				74	74	74	200	
	6				64	64	64	200	
	7				53	53	53	200	
	8				41	41	41	200	
	9				29	29	29	200	
	10				15	15	15	200	
Total		1,342	900	442	658	1,100	1,100	2,000	

Base Case 2 100%

							Net re	esult		
	Y	Revenue	COS	GM	Interest	EBIT	Minority	SBM share	EBITDA	Cash inflow
					income		Interest			
Construction	1	537	360	177		177	53	124	177	-
	2	805	540	265		265	80	186	265	-
Lease	/ 1				107	107	32	75	107	200
	/ 2				100	100	30	70	100	200
	/ 3				92	92	28	64	92	200
	<b>4</b>				83	83	25	58	83	200
0 1 0	5				74	74	22	52	74	200
Case 4.2	6				64	64	19	45	64	200
Minoritv 30%	7				53	53	16	37	53	200
	8				41	41	12	29	41	200
	9				29	29	9	20	29	200
	10				15	15	4	10	15	200
Total		1.342	900	442	658	1.100	330	770	1.100	2.000



#### Cases 5.1 and 5.2

- The other Partner has the Full Control on the JV
  - The JV is not consolidated at all. SBM will apply the Equity Method
    - In the Equity Method, a company recognizes its Share of Profit (loss) and Equity in the JV
      - In the P&L, the profit or loss is shown as a separate line called "Share of Profit (loss) in Associates"
      - In the BS, the share in Equity is shown in a separate line called "Investment in Associates"
    - **Case 5.1 Operating Lease** is the exact opposite of case 4.1
    - **Case 5.2 Finance Lease** is the exact opposite of case 4.2
- For the purpose of the following example, SBM share is assumed 30% and partner share 70%



#### **Cases 5.1 - Operating Lease**

					Net re	esult		
Case 4.1	Y	Revenue	Depreciation	EBIT	Mino	SBM	EBITDA	Cashflow
Minority	1	200	90	110	33	77	200	200
, while the second s	2	200	90	110	33	77	200	200
	3	200	90	110	33	77	200	200
	<b>`</b> 4	200	90	110	33	77	200	200
	5	200	90	110	33	77	200	200
	6	200	90	110	33	77	200	200
	7	200	90	110	33	77	200	200
	8	200	90	110	33	77	200	200
	9	200	90	110	33	77	200	200
	10	200	90	110	33	77	200	200
		2,000	900	1,100	(330)	770	2,000	2,000

Y	Revenue	Depreciation	EBIT	SBM Share	EBITDA	Cashflow	
				of profit in			
				associates			
1	-	-	-	33	-	-	
2	-	-	-	33	-	-	
3	-	-	-	33	-	-	
4	-	-	-	33	-	-	
5	-	-	-	33	-	-	
6	-	-	-	33	-	-	Case 5.1
7	-	-	-	33	-	-	Equity
8	-	-	-	33	-	-	
9	-	-	-	33	-	-	
10	-	-	-	33	-	-	
	-	-	-	(330)	-	-	

Note that the 330 will be shown as Cash inflows if and when dividends will be distributed to SBM.



#### **Cases 5.2 - Finance Lease**

								Net result			
		Y	Revenue	COS	GM	Interest	EBIT	Minority	SBM	EBITDA	Cash inflow
						income		Interest			
	Construction	1	537	360	177		177	53	124	177	-
		2	805	540	265		265	80	186	265	-
	Lease	1				107	107	32	75	107	200
		2				100	100	30	70	100	200
		3				92	92	28	64	92	200
		4				83	83	25	58	83	200
		5				74	74	22	52	74	200
	_ /	6				64	64	19	45	64	200
		7				53	53	16	37	53	200
Case 4.2		8				41	41	12	29	41	200
Minority		9				29	29	9	20	29	200
initionity	Tatal	10	4 0 4 0	000	440	15	15	4	10	15	200
	lotai		1,342	900	442	658	1,100	330	//0	1,100	2,000
	_	Y	Revenue	COS	GM	Interes	st EB	IT SBM s	hare of	EBITDA	Cash inflow
						incom	e	prof	it in		
								assoc	iates		
	Construction	1	-	-	-			-	53	-	-
		2	-	-	-			-	80	-	-
	Lease	1					-	-	32	-	-
		2					-	-	30	-	-
		3					-	-	28	-	-
		4					-	-	25	-	-
	/	5					-	-	22	-	-
		6					-	-	19	-	-
$C_{000} = 2$		7					-	-	16	-	-
		8					-	-	12	-	-
Equity		9					-	-	9	-	-
		10					-	-	4	-	-
	Total			-	-		-	-	330	-	-



# III Application to SBM Offshore NV



#### **Specificity of SBM**

- The specificity of SBM is that in most cases, the JV subcontracts part of / entirely the construction phase directly to an SBM entity. In those cases:
  - SBM has a direct contract with the JV (either lumpsum EPCI or open books contract)
  - SBM can realise an additional profit from construction which is not shared with the partner
  - Recognition of this profit depends on the lease classification
- Consequently, the cases applicable to SBM are cases 3.1 / 3.2 / 4.1 / 4.2 / 5.1 and 5.2 with an additional profit during the construction period
  - Operating Lease cases 3.1.1 / 4.1.1 / 5.1.1
  - Finance Lease cases 3.2.2 / 4.2.2 / 5.2.2



#### **Operating Lease**

- The EPCI profit realised by SBM on its share in the JV is deferred to the lease period
  - This is a consolidation entry, which is outside the JV books
  - The EPCI profit is not shared with the partner in the JV

#### - Example:

Lease term	years	10
Yearly BB payment	USD, 000	200
Construction costs SBM	USD, 000	800
Sold to the JV (EPCI contract)	USD, 000	900
Assuming construction period	year	2
Percentage of Completion	year 1	40%
	year 2	60%

- Same assumptions for the SBM share
  - Joint control 50%,
  - Full control: SBM share 70% with Minority interests 30%,
  - No Control: SBM Share 30%



#### Case 3.1.1 -Operating Lease in Joint Control (50%)

		SBM books		Eliminati	ion entry (	conso)	JV boo	ks at SBM	share		Total		
Y	Revenue	COS	EBIT	Revenue	COS	EBIT	Revenue	COS	EBIT	Revenue	COS	EBIT	
Construction 1	360	320	40	- 180 -	160	- 20				180	160	20	
2	540	480	60	- 270 -	240	- 30				270	240	30	
Lease 1			-			5	100	45	55	100	40	60	
2			-			5	100	45	55	100	40	60	
3			-			5	100	45	55	100	40	60	
4			-			5	100	45	55	100	40	60	
5			-			5	100	45	55	100	40	60	
6			-			5	100	45	55	100	40	60	
7			-			5	100	45	55	100	40	60	
8			-			5	100	45	55	100	40	60	
9			-			5	100	45	55	100	40	60	
10			-			5	100	45	55	100	40	60	
									$\sim$				
TOTAL	900	800	100			-	1,000	450	550	1,450	800	650	



Case 3.1 50%



# Case 4.1.1 - Operating Lease in Full Control with Minority interests

			SBM book	5	Elimination entry	(conso)			JV books	5				Total		
	Y	Revenue	COS	EBIT	Revenue COS	EBIT	Revenue	COS	EBIT	Mino	SBM	Revenue	COS	EBIT	Mino	SBM
											Share					Share
Construction	1	360	320	40	- 252 - 224	- 28						108	96	12		12
	2	540	480	60	- 378 - 336	- 42						162	144	18		18
Lease	1			-		7	200	90	110	- 33	77	200	83	117	- 33	84
	2			-		7	200	90	110	- 33	77	200	83	117	- 33	84
	3			-		7	200	90	110	- 33	77	200	83	117	- 33	84
	4			-		7	200	90	110	- 33	77	200	83	117	- 33	84
	5			-		7	200	90	110	- 33	77	200	83	117	- 33	84
	6			-		7	200	90	110	- 33	77	200	83	117	- 33	84
	7			-		7	200	90	110	- 33	77	200	83	117	- 33	84
	В			-		7	200	90	110	- 33	77	200	83	117	- 33	84
	9			-		7	200	90	110	- 33	77	200	83	117	- 33	84
1	0			-		7	200	90	110	- 33	77	200	83	117	- 33	84
TOTAL		900	800	100		0	2,000	900	1,100	330	770	2,270	1,070	1,200	- 330	870
												/				
									$\checkmark$							
											_					
																_
													<u> </u>		1	
													0	564		





Total at SBM share

Total

Add profit on SBM con

#### **Case 5.1.1 - Operating Lease with No Control**

			SBM		Eliminati	on entry (	conso)		JV	books				Consolic	nsolidation		
	Y	Revenue	COS	EBIT	Revenue	COS	EBIT	Revenue	COS	EBIT	Share of	Revenue	COS	EBIT	Share of	Result	
											profit in				profit in	SBM Share	
											associates				associates		
Construction	1	360	320	40			-					360	320	40		40	
	2	540	480	60			-					540	480	60		60	
Lease	1			-			-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	33	33	
	2			-			-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	33	33	
	3			-			-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	33	33	
	4			-			-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	33	33	
	5			-			-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	33	33	
	6			-			-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	33	33	
	7			-			-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	33	33	
	8			-			-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	33	33	
	9			-			-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	33	33	
	10			-			-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	33	33	
TOTAL		900	800	100			-	-	-	-	330	900	800	100	330	430	

Case 5.1 No Control SBM Share 30%

Synthesis	SBM	JV	Elimination	Share of	Total
				profit in	
				associates	
Construction	100	-	-	-	100
Lease	-	-	-	330	330
Total	100	-	-	330	430
Profit can be explain	ed:				
Costs in the lease	900				
Revenue in the lease	2.000				
Total Lease	1.100				

330

100

430



#### Finance Lease

- The profit realised by SBM on its JV is recognized during construction
- This profit is 100% SBM and not shared with the partner in the JV

#### • Example:

Lease term	years	10
Yearly BB payment	USD, 000	200
Construction costs SBM	USD, 000	800
Sold to the JV (EPCI contract)	USD, 000	900
Project WACC	%	8
Assuming construction period	years	2
Percentage of Completion	year 1	40%
	year 2	60%

#### Same assumptions for the SBM share

- Joint control 50%,
- Full control: SBM share 70% with Minority interests 30%,
- No Control: SBM Share 30%



## Case 3.2.2 -

#### **Finance Lease in Joint Control (50%)**





# Case 4.2.2 - Finance Lease in Full Control with Minority Interests

	SBM	JV	Minority	Total	
Construction	100	442 -	- 133	409	
Lease		658 -	. 197	461	
Margin	100	1.100 -	- 330	870	
Total profit can be explained:		2			
Profit on the partner	30				Case 4.2
Element 1 - Construction (after mino)	379	J	_		Minority 30%
Element 2 - Lease (after mino)	461				
Total	870				
Elements 1 and 2 of the Finance Lease can I Element 1 - Sale Costs incurred	be rebuilt this	way			Profit on partner
Net investment (Fair value of the lease)	1,342				30% Of 100
Profit	542	SBM share 70%	₀́ gives	379	
<i>Element 2 - Lease</i> Net investment (Fair value of the lease) Gross BB payments	1,342 2,000	CDM oboro 700	( cives	101	
Piulit	000	SDIVI SHARE 70%	o gives	401	



## **Case 5.2.2 - Finance Lease with No Control**

	SBM	JV	Share of profit in associates	Total	
Construction	100	-	133	233	
Lease		-	197	197	
Margin	100	-	330	430	
		$\subseteq$			
Total profit can be explained:		·			
Profit on the partner	70				
Element 1 - Construction (after mino)	163	$\int$			
Element 2 - Lease (after mino)	197				Case 5.2
Total	430				No Control
Elements 1 and 2 of the Finance Lease	e can be rebuil	t this way			
Costs incurred	800				Drofit on
Net investment (Fair value of the lease)	1 342				
Profit	542	SBM share 30 <sup>o</sup>	% aives	163	partner
	•		, e givee	100	70% of 100
Element 2 - Lease					
Net investment (Fair value of the lease)	1,342				
Gross BB payments	2,000				
Profit	658	SBM share 30°	% gives	197	



SBM has no control

Case 5.1.1

SBM has no control

Case 5.2.2



Case 5.1.1

page 36

Case 5.2.2 (Assumed Tupi NE)



# IV Future developments



## IFRS projects that will have an impact on SBM

- Project of reshaping IAS 17 Leases
  - Joint Project IASB and FASB
  - Project calendar
    - Discussion paper published in March 2009
    - Exposure Draft (ED) published in August 2010
    - ED open for public comment until December 15, 2010
- What is being proposed for Lessor
  - When the lease transfers significant risks or benefits of the underlying asset to the lessee, the lessor would apply the derecognition approach (not very different from the current Finance Lease approach)
  - When the lessor retains exposure to significant risks and benefits, the lessor would apply the performance obligation approach (quite different from Operating Lease approach)



#### **Exposure Draft Lease**

#### Is there a transfer of significant risks or benefit of the underlying asset?





## IFRS projects that will have an impact on SBM

- Joint Ventures (ED9)
  - IFRS release expected for Q3 2010
  - Effective date: TBC
  - Shall remove the proportionate consolidation method
- Revenue recognition
  - Exposure Draft published June 2010
  - Comments period ends 22/10/2010
  - Target date for IFRS release is June 2011
  - Effective date: TBC
  - May remove the percentage of completion method





# **Q&A** session



#### Disclaimer

Some of the statements contained in this presentation that are not historical facts are statements of future expectations and other forward-looking statements based on management's current views and assumptions and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results, performance, or events to differ materially from those in such statements. Such forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties, which may cause actual results and performance of the Company's business to differ materially and adversely from the forward-looking statements.

Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described in this presentation as anticipated, believed, or expected. SBM Offshore NV does not intend, and does not assume any obligation, to update any industry information or forward-looking statements set forth in this presentation to reflect subsequent events or circumstances.



